

2696

September 30, 2009

Form letter
K

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:57

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 is the following.

The State Board of Education has failed to address the IRRC’s recommendation concerning the question of its statutory authority to determine specific graduation requirements. The General Assembly and members of the public continue to question the Board’s authority to set these requirements.

The changes proposed by Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 will so drastically transform public education (and hence society) as to demand legislative review. Even so, the Board has ignored Legislative intent and proceeded in opposition to the will of the Legislature.

Clearly, the General Assembly declared its intent in a provision of Act 61 of 2008. The position of the General Assembly is, “a regulation to change or establish high school graduation requirements shall not be further promulgated, approved or proposed” in the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The Board began contracts for Keystone Exams in April and May of 2009.

As well, Senate Bill No. 281 provides that the Department of Education will not develop or implement such requirements until the General Assembly specifically appropriates funds for this purpose

Governor Edward G. Rendell has himself, in March of 2006, stated in his Amicus Curiae brief to the United States Court of Appeals, “Pennsylvania Constitution textually commits educational funding to the General Assembly. As a result, educational funding is determined through a legislative process in which the General Assembly must consider various fiscal and educational priorities”.

In July of 2008, the Independent Regulatory Review Committee recommended to the State Board of Education that they work closely with both chambers of the General Assembly and the Senate and House Education Committees to ensure that the final-form regulation is consistent with the intent of the General Assembly. The Board proceeded without doing so.

Based upon the failure of the State Board to address the question of its statutory authority as urged by the IRRC, I ask that you vote to disapprove Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312

Yours truly,

Name Betty Helen Horner

Title _____

Street 107 Blackthorn Rd.

City, State, Zip Wallington, PA 19086

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 7:57

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 is the following.

The State Board of Education has failed to address the IRRC’s recommendation concerning the question of its statutory authority to determine specific graduation requirements. The General Assembly and members of the public continue to question the Board’s authority to set these requirements.

The changes proposed by Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 will so drastically transform public education (and hence society) as to demand legislative review. Even so, the Board has ignored Legislative intent and proceeded in opposition to the will of the Legislature.

Clearly, the General Assembly declared its intent in a provision of Act 61 of 2008. The position of the General Assembly is, “a regulation to change or establish high school graduation requirements shall not be further promulgated, approved or proposed” in the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The Board began contracts for Keystone Exams in April and May of 2009.

As well, Senate Bill No. 281 provides that the Department of Education will not develop or implement such requirements until the General Assembly specifically appropriates funds for this purpose

Governor Edward G. Rendell has himself, in March of 2006, stated in his Amicus Curiae brief to the United States Court of Appeals, “Pennsylvania Constitution textually commits educational funding to the General Assembly. As a result, educational funding is determined through a legislative process in which the General Assembly must consider various fiscal and educational priorities”.

In July of 2008, the Independent Regulatory Review Committee recommended to the State Board of Education that they work closely with both chambers of the General Assembly and the Senate and House Education Committees to ensure that the final-form regulation is consistent with the intent of the General Assembly. The Board proceeded without doing so.

Based upon the failure of the State Board to address the question of its statutory authority as urged by the IRRC, I ask that you vote to disapprove Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312

Yours truly, Alexis J. Crax
Name
Title WPCA, 4949 Walnut St. Recp.
Street Phila, Pa
City, State, Zip 19139

2696

September 30, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:56

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 is the following.

The State Board of Education has failed to address the IRRC’s recommendation concerning the question of its statutory authority to determine specific graduation requirements. The General Assembly and members of the public continue to question the Board’s authority to set these requirements.

The changes proposed by Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 will so drastically transform public education (and hence society) as to demand legislative review. Even so, the Board has ignored Legislative intent and proceeded in opposition to the will of the Legislature.

Clearly, the General Assembly declared its intent in a provision of Act 61 of 2008. The position of the General Assembly is, “a regulation to change or establish high school graduation requirements shall not be further promulgated, approved or proposed” in the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The Board began contracts for Keystone Exams in April and May of 2009.

As well, Senate Bill No. 281 provides that the Department of Education will not develop or implement such requirements until the General Assembly specifically appropriates funds for this purpose

Governor Edward G. Rendell has himself, in March of 2006, stated in his Amicus Curiae brief to the United States Court of Appeals, “Pennsylvania Constitution textually commits educational funding to the General Assembly. As a result, educational funding is determined through a legislative process in which the General Assembly must consider various fiscal and educational priorities”.

In July of 2008, the Independent Regulatory Review Committee recommended to the State Board of Education that they work closely with both chambers of the General Assembly and the Senate and House Education Committees to ensure that the final-form regulation is consistent with the intent of the General Assembly. The Board proceeded without doing so.

Based upon the failure of the State Board to address the question of its statutory authority as urged by the IRRC, I ask that you vote to disapprove Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312

Yours truly,

Name Arthur S. Jones

Title _____

Street 500 N. Lemon St

City, State, Zip Media, PA 19063

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:55

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 is the following.

The State Board of Education has failed to address the IRRC’s recommendation concerning the question of its statutory authority to determine specific graduation requirements. The General Assembly and members of the public continue to question the Board’s authority to set these requirements.

The changes proposed by Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 will so drastically transform public education (and hence society) as to demand legislative review. Even so, the Board has ignored Legislative intent and proceeded in opposition to the will of the Legislature.

Clearly, the General Assembly declared its intent in a provision of Act 61 of 2008. The position of the General Assembly is, “a regulation to change or establish high school graduation requirements shall not be further promulgated, approved or proposed” in the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The Board began contracts for Keystone Exams in April and May of 2009.

As well, Senate Bill No. 281 provides that the Department of Education will not develop or implement such requirements until the General Assembly specifically appropriates funds for this purpose

Governor Edward G. Rendell has himself, in March of 2006, stated in his Amicus Curiae brief to the United States Court of Appeals, "Pennsylvania Constitution textually commits educational funding to the General Assembly. As a result, educational funding is determined through a legislative process in which the General Assembly must consider various fiscal and educational priorities".

In July of 2008, the Independent Regulatory Review Committee recommended to the State Board of Education that they work closely with both chambers of the General Assembly and the Senate and House Education Committees to ensure that the final-form regulation is consistent with the intent of the General Assembly. The Board proceeded without doing so.

Based upon the failure of the State Board to address the question of its statutory authority as urged by the IRRC, I ask that you vote to disapprove Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312

Yours truly,

Name Lynne Elliott
Title Citizen
Street 529 Edgewood Cir
City, State, Zip Media PA 19063